



„DELPHI“ questionnaire report

Per Gunnar Svensson

Caren Weilandt

May 2011



Initial planning of DELPHI

- As outlined in two memos on the Delphi study 2010, the main focus should be to send a questionnaire to counterparts/members of the partner organizations in particular in EU countries not covered by the MoHProf macro and micro phases. For these 15 countries, responses from the four partners' national counterparts/members would be defined as informants for the Delphi study.
- Ideally that would have given at least four responses from each of these 15 countries. And in a second mailing the results from the first questionnaire would be fed back country wise to partners' counterparts/members



Responses from partners as of 5 May 2011

- Through **IOM**, 17 responses: Belgium, MoH, Cyprus MoH, Czech Republic MoH, Denmark MoH, Estonia EMA, Hungary EEKH, Hungary ETI, Hungary Medical Chamber, Ireland Gov Agency, Israel Med Ass, Latvia MoH, Luxembourg AMMD, Malta Director of Nursing Services, Poland Hippocrates Org, Slovenia MoH, UK BMA, Mia Cooperation?
- Through **WMA**, 1 response: Belgium MA
- Through **IHF**, 3 responses: New Zealand HA, Australia HA, Switzerland HA
- Through **ICN**, 2 responses: Denmark NA, Spain NA



Country coverage

- We have got all together one or two response from each of 10 EU countries out of the 15 from which we wanted at least 4 responses from each one. Further, there were also one response from each of Switzerland, New Zealand and Australia. If the reported responses are final, the second mailing is not any longer relevant.



What to do with the already received responses?

A few suggestions, but further ideas are welcome:

- Three Delphi informant EU countries are also covered by the MoHProf macro and micro phases (UK, Ireland and Poland) and the Delphi responses from these three countries may therefore be used for triangulation purposes.
- The remaining Delphi responses may then be used as case reports from one or two sources only in each of these countries. Analytically, there might be a reporting from each one of these country questionnaire responses according to the push/pull/stay/stick matrix, maybe also according to status as mainly receiving and/or sending country.



Way forward?

- An other alternative is to only report descriptively responses to the questionnaire from each country.
- Another alternative is to recognize the response rate as a failure and ignore the received responses (not recommended).
- We might also use the Delphi informants to get input/feedback on the recommendations to be developed
- Before investing further time in the Delphi reporting, we suggest that the mentioned and any other proposal for the way forward are discussed

